



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/391,966	09/08/199	RICHARD J. DITZIK		9391	
27058	7590 05				
RICHARD J. DITZIK			EXAMINER		
307 SURREY SAN DIEGO,			KINCAID, LESTER G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685		
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	í	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
Advison, Action	09/391,966	DITZIK, RICHARD J.	2
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	/
	Lester G. Kincaid	2685	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in	d
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	P
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extoriginally set in the final Office action	tension on: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: the newly added limitations require further	er consideration.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · 		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place ti	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>54-69</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (briefly), wrt claim 54, the spec recites "relay[ing]", not "adapting" or "configuring" as claimed. wrt dependent claims, as previously stated, the spec fails to provide for the "handset" to do the claimed functions.

LESTER G. KINCAID

LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER